Fame and Obsolescence: Disentangling growth and ageing dynamics of patent citations

K. W. Higham,[1] M. Governale,[1] A. B. Jaffe,[2] and U. Zülicke[1]

[1] *Te Pūnaha Matatini, School of Chemical and Physical Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington 6140, New Zealand*

[2] *Te Pūnaha Matatini, Motu Economic and Public Policy Research, PO Box 24390, Wellington 6142, New Zealand*

(Dated: November 17, 2016)

We present an analysis of citations accrued over time by cohorts of patents from specific technology sectors (e.g., Electrical and Electronic) granted by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in 1998. In contrast to previous studies that did not differentiate patents by technology category, we observe an intrinsic citation rate that clearly separates into an ageing function and a completely time-independent preferential-attachment-type growth kernel. In the long run, the aging function turns out to be an exponential function of time. Relevant parameters for the ageing and growth functions are reliably extracted. The time-dependent citation distribution is obtained analytically for the general case of a separable citation rate, thus establishing a useful framework for the quantitative investigation of general knowledge-propagation dynamics.

arXiv:1611.05076v1 [physics.soc-ph] 15 Nov 2016

Introduction and Overview of Results.—The structure and evolution of information transfer in collaborative environments continues to be the subject of intense study. In particular, citations by scientific articles [1–6] and patents [7–12] are being investigated as directly accessible and suitably quantifiable indicators of intellectual connectivity. Basic insight into citation data has been obtained by the application of advanced models for network growth [13–17] where the principle of *preferential attachment* governs the creation of new connections (i.e., citations). However, such growth dynamics is counterbalanced by the typically increased tendency towards obsolescence for old knowledge. As a result, the intrinsic [18] citation rate $\lambda(t)$ for patents (articles) at time t can be surmised to be of the general form [10, 11]

$$\lambda(t) \equiv \bar{\lambda}(k(t),t) = A(t)\, f(k) \quad . \tag{1}$$

Here $A(t)$ is the ageing function [19–22], and $f(k)$ embodies preferential-attachment dynamics through its asymptotic power-law dependence $f(k) \sim k^{\alpha}$ on an individual patent's (article's) cumulative number of citations $k(t)$.

Real citation data have been fitted to various specific functional forms for $A(t)$ and $f(k)$. For the *Ansatz* (1) to be meaningful, fitting procedures should find that any parameters entering the preferential-attachment kernel $f(k)$ are independent of time once an accurate model for ageing of knowledge has been adopted and extrinsic influences, such as the increased number of patents (articles) generated over time, have been controlled for by a suitable normalisation. Here we show that this is indeed the case for patents within given technology categories. More specifically, the validity of (1) is established by explicit extraction of the ageing function and preferential-attachment kernel, which are found to be of the form

$$A(t) = A_0 \, \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 \approx \tau/2 \ , \tag{2a}$$

$$f(k) = k^{\alpha} + f_0 \ . \tag{2b}$$

Figure 1 shows results of a fitting procedure that most directly demonstrates the validity of the separation *Ansatz* (1), and the parameter values found for individual technology categories defined in Ref. [23] are given in Table I.

Our results have several interesting ramifications. Firstly, the rate expression (1) is established as a viable description for the citation dynamics of patents within specific technology categories and after normalizing for extrinsic variations of citability [24]. Hence, this approach can now be usefully applied to perform comparative studies of the different patent categories. Secondly, finding an ageing function that decays exponentially with time in the long run implies the existence of a finite life time τ for patents before they become obsolete. This agrees with results from an early study of patent-citation dynamics [8] that also observed exponential ageing with a life time of approximately 5 years [25]. Using our approach for further in-depth study of the deviation from exponential ageing at short times $t < t_0$ could open a new window on a quantitative understanding of mechanisms for knowledge propagation on short time scales. Finally, the rather good representation of technology-specific citation dynamics by the Markovian preferential-attachment mechanism limits the scope for autocorrelation effects to be relevant for boosting citation rates [5].

As one of our main results, we obtain the fully general analytic expression for the distribution function $n(k,t)$ for citations, which is the fraction of patents having k citations at time t. For all values $k \geq 1$, it will satisfy the Master equation [21]

$$\frac{dn(k,t)}{dt} = -\bar{\lambda}(k,t)\, n(k,t) + \bar{\lambda}(k-1,t)\, n(k-1,t) \ , \tag{3a}$$

whereas for $k = 0$, the corresponding Master equation is

$$\frac{dn(0,t)}{dt} = -\bar{\lambda}(0,t)\, n(0,t) \quad . \tag{3b}$$

Solving these equations for the most general form of initial conditions $n(k,0) = n_0(k)$ allows us to account for



Figure 1. Disentangling preferential-attachment-type growth from ageing in the citation rate of category-4 USPTO patents granted in 1998. (a) Obsolescence time τ extracted for each bin of patents with fixed number of citations k from fitting the citation rate to the form $\bar{\lambda}(k,t) \propto \exp(-t/\tau)$ for time $t \geq t_0 = 2.5$ years. (b) Preferential-attachment exponent α, extracted for fixed times t from fits of the citation rate to the form $\bar{\lambda}(k,t) \propto (k^\alpha + f_0)$. (c) Inhomogeneous contribution f_0 to the preferential-attachment part of the citation rate, extracted for fixed times t from fits to $\bar{\lambda}(k,t) \propto (k^\alpha + f_0)$. Circles indicate fitted values, and solid lines are corresponding weighted averages. Dashed curves demarcate 95% confidence intervals.

Category	1	2	3	4	5	6
α	1.11 ± 0.09	1.11 ± 0.08	1.10 ± 0.08	1.14 ± 0.05	1.20 ± 0.09	1.16 ± 0.09
f_0	1.2 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.5	2.0 ± 0.7	1.9 ± 0.6
τ [years]	5.6 ± 1.0	5.4 ± 1.3[a]	5.4 ± 1.2[a]	5.2 ± 0.4	5.9 ± 0.7	5.8 ± 0.7
A_0 [years^{-1}]	0.30 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.07	0.39 ± 0.10	0.26 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.03	0.26 ± 0.04
Γ_0	0.86	– [b]	–[b]	0.75	0.94	1.02

[a] Here τ showed a moderate residual dependence on the cumulative number of citations.
[b] Uncertainties in this category's ageing-function parameters prevent determination of Γ_0.

Table I. Parameter values for exponential ageing (τ, A_0) and preferential-attachment-type growth (α, f_0), extracted for patent cohorts from individual technology categories according to the classification of Ref. [23] where 1 = Chemical, 2 = Computers & Communications, 3 = Drugs & Medical, 4 = Electrical & Electronic, 5 = Mechanical, and 6 = Others. The parameter Γ_0 quantifies the departure from exponential ageing at short times through its deviation from 1.

the fact that a significant number of patents have already acquired citations by the time they are granted. Assuming only that the citation rate has the form given in (1), we find [26]

$$n(k,t) = n_0(k)\,[\gamma(t)]^{f(k)} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} n_0(l) \left(\prod_{m=l}^{k-1} f(m) \right) \sum_{q=l}^{k} \frac{[\gamma(t)]^{f(q)}}{\prod_{\substack{m=l \\ m\neq q}}^{k} [f(m) - f(q)]}, \quad (4a)$$

where

$$\gamma(t) = \exp\left\{ -\int_0^t dt'\, A(t') \right\} \quad . \quad (4b)$$

This result is applicable to any citation dynamics described by a rate that is the product of an ageing part and a citation-number-dependent growth part. It also incorporates the fully general initial conditions.

Specializing (4b) to the ageing function given in (2a) yields $\gamma(t) = \Gamma(t) \exp\left\{\tau A_0 \left[\exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) - 1\right]\right\}$, where $\Gamma(t) \equiv \exp\left\{ -\int_0^t dt' \left[A(t') - A_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t'}{\tau}\right) \right] \right\}$ quantifies the effect of deviations from exponential ageing that occur at short times $t \leq t_0$. Hence we expect, and indeed observe, $\Gamma(t > t_0) \to \Gamma_0 = \text{const}$. For the purpose of the present work, extracting the parameter Γ_0 from the data enables us to model correctly the citation-number distribution $n(k,t)$ for $t \geq t_0$. See Table I for the values found for individual technology categories.

Knowing $n(k,t)$ explicitly, it is now possible to calculate any citation-related quantity of interest. Examples are the average rate of citation for any patent from the cohort; $\Lambda(t) = \sum_k n(k,t)\,\bar{\lambda}(k,t)$, and the average cumulative number of citations obtained over time; $K(t) = \sum_k n(k,t)\,k$ [27]. From Eqs. (3a) and (3b), it is easily verified that these quantities satisfy the expected relation $dK(t)/dt = \Lambda(t)$. We therefore only consider $\Lambda(t)$ here. Figure 2 shows a representative comparison between the average citation rate obtained from actual patent-citation data and the prediction coming out of our model that combines preferential-attachment-type growth with ageing. For the theoretical curves, we have taken into account the empirically measured initial citation distribution $n_0(k)$. The assumption of purely exponential ageing turns out not to yield a good description of the data for $\Lambda(t)$, but good agreement at longer times is achieved simply by setting $\Gamma(t) \equiv \Gamma_0$ with the appropriate value for Γ_0 to account for the effect of short-time deviations from exponential ageing. Thus despite the fact that the exact functional form of the ageing function $A(t)$



Figure 2. Average citation rate $\Lambda(t)$ for category-4 patents granted by USPTO in 1998. Symbols represent the data, and the red (black) curve is the theoretical prediction based on applying preferential-attachment-type growth and exponential ageing to the measured initial citation distribution $n_0(k)$, with (without) correcting for short-time deviations from exponential ageing using $\Gamma_0 = 0.753$. Good agreement between the data and the corrected theoretical curve at longer times indicates the accuracy of exponential ageing in the long term, whereas the remaining difference between the model and data for short times reflects the influence of parallel channels of knowledge transfer that could originate, e.g., from information flows within individual companies' R&D units.

at short times $t < t_0$ is currently not known, we can accurately model the longer-term behavior of $\Lambda(t)$.

Methodology for data analysis and fitting.—Our data comprise the citation history of all patents granted in the year 1998 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), where each patent is assigned one of six broad technology categories as laid out in Ref. [23]. Each of these categories consist of a collection of technology classes, which are the official designations given by the USPTO. The cohort of patents granted in 1998 was chosen in order to have both enough data and enough time to conduct a robust analysis of the network evolution. The date of a citation is taken to be the application date of the citing patent. We consider all citations to this cohort up to, and including, those applied for in the year 2007, after which the grant lag begins to render our data incomplete.

The citations to each patent in our cohort are binned in six-month periods, starting from the grant date of the patent. This establishes two time series; one for the number of additional citations gained within a given six-month period, and one for the total number of citations that have been accrued by the end of each period. These citations are adjusted for 'citation inflation' to mitigate extrinsic factors affecting the citation rate: because the number of patent applications in each period and technology category is variable, we divide the nominal application counts by the number of applications in 1998 to scale each citation to an 'equivalent 1998 citation'. We perform this scaling only within a given technology category based on the approximation that patents mostly cite other patents from the same technology category. The fact that we see consistent exponential aging behavior across most technology categories suggests that this approximation is generally valid.

We also bin the data into groups of patents with similar values of k at t. In order to ensure equal spacing of these bins during the modeling process, we implement logarithmic binning, whereby bin size gets exponentially larger with the number of cumulative citations. There are few patents with a large number of citations, and so the measured citations for these patents have large uncertainties. For this reason, we define a threshold, and when the number of accrued citations to a patent exceeds this threshold, the patent gets excluded from the analysis. This results in better parameter estimation due to the exclusion of unreliable bins on the edge of our data. For our analyses, the threshold is set at the 95th percentile of total accrued citations, which varies with technology category.



Figure 3. Upper panel: Mean number of additional citations, $\Delta k(t+\Delta t)$, as a function of time t for fixed citation bins with log-midpoints at $k = 3$, 10 and 30. Hollow circles are derived from the citation data, while solid lines represent the fit to the form of Eq. (1) with $A(t)$ given by Eq. (2a). Deviations from exponential-ageing behavior occur only at short times. Lower panel: Mean number of additional citations, $\Delta k(t + \Delta t)$, as a function of cumulative number of citations $k(t)$ for various fixed times $t = 3$, 6 and 9 years. Hollow circles are derived from the citation data, while solid lines represent the fit to the form of Eq. (1) with $f(k)$ given by Eq. (2b). We have used a log transformation in order to reduce the effect of the heteroscedasticity expected with both power-law and exponentially distributed data and obtain reasonable uncertainties in the fitting parameters.

To prove the separability of the citation rate and extract relevant parameters, we assume, for patents with k citations, that the average additional number of citations in $(t, t + \Delta t]$, denoted $\Delta k(t + \Delta t)$, with $\Delta t = 6$ months is a good proxy for the citation rate at time t. We then analyze how these rates change as functions of both time and accumulated citations. In particular, we fit Eq. (2a) to the time dependence of the observed citation rate at various given fixed k to extract values of τ (see upper panel in Fig. 3), and fit Eq. (2b) to the k dependence of the observed citation rate at various given fixed t to extract values of α and f_0 (cf. lower panel in Fig. 3). We fit (2a) to times greater than approximately $\tau/2$ due to a departure from exponential behaviour observed at short times. The results obtained in that way for patents from category 4 (Electrical & Electronic) are given in Fig. 1. The fact that there is no residual time dependence in the parameters α and f_0, and no dependence of the extracted τ on the number of citations k, clearly shows the applicability of the separation *Ansatz* (1) for the citation rate. This allows us to discuss more systematic specifics of the ageing and growth mechanisms.

Robust preferential-attachment growth.—Within our analysis, we observe no systematic deviations from preferential-attachment-type growth. Our extracted values of the exponent α vary moderately between the different technology categories but are all broadly consistent with $\alpha \gtrsim 1.1$. This value is marginally lower than those derived previously [6] from citation networks, but still implies a super-linear growth dynamics. The initial attractiveness for a patent to be (essentially randomly) cited is quantified by the parameter f_0 whose values are in the sensible range $1 \lesssim f_0 \lesssim 2$ across all technology categories. The observed technology-independent universality of the preferential-attachment growth behavior points to the existence of common drivers for citation-based knowledge flow in invention space.

Technology dependence of short & long-term ageing.—Our study has succeeded in establishing with great accuracy the separability of the citation rate into ageing and growth parts, and has also clarified the exact form of the preferential-attachment kernel. However, the functional form of the ageing function $A(t)$ was able to be reliably identified as being exponential only for longer times $t \geq t_0 \approx 2.5$ years. As illustrated in the upper panel of Fig. 3, the citation rate at shorter times is larger than expected from purely exponential ageing with the extracted lifetime τ. None of the previously utilized functional forms for ageing functions such as power-law or Weibull is able to model this behavior. Nevertheless, the knowledge of exponential ageing occurring in the long run, together with the separability according to (1), make it possible to at least find an accurate model for the distribution $n(k, t)$ of citations for times $t \geq t_0$. This can be the starting point for further study into the short-time ageing mechanism of patent citations.

Similarly to the preferential-attachment parameters, the life time associated with long-term exponential ageing turns out to show some variation across the different technology categories. The broad range $5 \lesssim \tau/\text{years} \lesssim 6$ is consistent with early results reported in the economics literature [8]. Furthermore, those technologies that are generally perceived to be faster-changing (categories 2-4) exhibit shorter τ while those perceived to change more slowly (categories 1, 5 and 6) exhibit longer τ. See Table I. The correction factor Γ_0 that quantitatively embodies short-term deviations from exponential ageing also exhibits an interesting pattern across technologies. It is plausible that the enhancement of knowledge transfer that is observed for some technologies on short time scales does not occur to a significant level for patents from the very heterogeneous and generally slower-changing technology space of categories 5 and 6. This leads to $\Gamma_0 \approx 1$ for these categories. In contrast, the faster-developing category 4 is strongly influenced by such short-time effects, rendering $\Gamma_0 < 1$.

Relating patent-citation statistics to knowledge flow: Opportunities and caveats.—We treat citations as an indicator or proxy for knowledge flow. We might expect citations in patents to be a high-quality indicator, because they are governed by explicit legal rules, and their inclusion in or exclusion from a given patent has legal consequences [28]. Indeed, recent work uses transitive reduction (TR) [29] of citation networks to show that the level of redundancy in the information flow associated with patent citations is much smaller when compared with citations to academic papers [30]. This suggests a stronger link between citation dynamics and knowledge flow in the patent network as compared to networks mapped from article citations (for which both rules and consequences are much less clear). There are, however, reasons to be cautious in interpreting patent citations as indicators of knowledge flow. Citations are made by several parties, including the inventor, the patent attorney, and the USPTO patent examiner [31], and for numerous reasons [32]. Future studies focused on analyzing the statistics of patent citations that originate from different parties acting during the patenting process could shed interesting new light on these various influences [28].

Conclusions.—We have empirically established that the intrinsic rate of citation for patents within individual technology categories is separable into an ageing function and a preferential-attachment growth kernel. The ageing function depends exponentially on time in the long run, but the functional form characterizing its short-time behavior is currently not known. The life time associated with the exponential ageing and the parameters of preferential-attachment-type growth have been reliably extracted, and variations across technology categories were discussed.

Based on the separability of the intrinsic citation rate, the distribution of citations has been obtained as a func-

tion of time in an explicitly analytic form that also incorporates a completely general initial citation distribution. See Eq. (4a) with (4b). This result enables detailed modelling of patent-citation statistics and facilitates future in-depth investigations into mechanisms for knowledge propagation through invention space. The fundamental approaches developed in this work can be expected to be useful also for the study of network growth and ageing dynamics in other contexts.

[1] S. Redner, Eur. Phys. J. B **4**, 131 (1998).

[2] K. Börner, J. T. Maru, and R. L. Goldstone, PNAS **101**, 5266 (2004).

[3] S. Redner, Phys. Today **58**(6), 49 (2005).

[4] F. Radicchi, S. Fortunato, and C. Castellano, PNAS **105**, 17268 (2008).

[5] M. Golosovsky and S. Solomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 098701 (2012).

[6] M. Golosovsky and S. Solomon, J. Stat. Phys. **151**, 340 (2013).

[7] Z. Griliches, J. Econ. Lit. **28**, 1661 (1990).

[8] A. B. Jaffe and M. Trajtenberg, Econ. Innov. New Techn. **8**, 105 (1999).

[9] I. von Wartburg, T. Teichert, and K. Rost, Res. Policy **34**, 1591 (2005), and citations therein.

[10] G. Csárdi, K. J. Strandburg, L. Zalányi, J. Tobochnik, and P. Érdi, Physica A **374**, 783 (2007).

[11] S. Valverde, R. V. Solé, M. A. Bedau, and N. Packard, Phys. Rev. E **76**, 056118 (2007).

[12] P. Sheridan, Y. Yagahara, and H. Shimodaira, Physica A **391**, 5031 (2012).

[13] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, Science **286**, 509 (1999).

[14] S. N. Dorogovtsev, J. F. F. Mendes, and A. N. Samukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4633 (2000).

[15] P. L. Krapivsky and S. Redner, Phys. Rev. E **63**, 066123 (2001).

[16] R. Albert and A.-L. Barabási, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 47 (2002).

[17] M. E. J. Newman, SIAM Review **45**, 167 (2003).

[18] The intrinsic citation rate $\lambda(t)$ is obtained from the bare total citation rate $\lambda_{\rm tot}(t)$ by a rescaling to account for the extrinsic variation in citability due to the changing number $N(t)$ of patents that are generated at time t: $\lambda(t) = \lambda_{\rm tot}(t)\, N(t_{\rm a})/N(t)$. $t_{\rm a} = 0.5$ years in our analysis.

[19] S. N. Dorogovtsev and J. F. F. Mendes, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 1842 (2000).

[20] H. Zhu, X. Wang, and J.-Y. Zhu, Phys. Rev. E **68**, 056121 (2003).

[21] M. Medo, G. Cimini, and S. Gualdi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 238701 (2011).

[22] Y. Wu, T. Z. Fu, and D. M. Chiu, J. Informetrics **8**, 650 (2014).

[23] B. H. Hall, A. B. Jaffe, and M. Trajtenberg, in *Patents, Citations, and Innovations: A Window on the Knowledge Economy*, edited by A. B. Jaffe and M. Trajtenberg (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002) p. 403.

[24] It is in these two important aspects that our present approach differs from the one followed by previous studies of citation data [5, 10, 11].

[25] More recent works [5, 10] postulated ageing functions with an asymptotic power-law behavior $A(t) \sim t^{-\beta}$ but concomitantly observed a marked increase of the preferential-attachment exponent α over time. Others [11] presumed the ageing function to be of a Weibull-type form but fixed $\alpha = 1$ in their fits.

[26] Solution of Eq. (3b) yields $n(0,t) = n_0(0)\,[\gamma(t)]^{f(0)}$. For given $n(k-1,t)$, Eq. (3a) is solved by the method of variation of constants, yielding $n(k,t) = n_0(k)\,[\gamma(t)]^{f(k)} + [\gamma(t)]^{f(k)} \int_0^t dt'\; \bar\lambda(k-1,t')\; [\gamma(t')]^{-f(k)}\; n(k-1,t')$. The explicit result given in Eq. (4a) is obtained by induction.

[27] For the previously considered [21, 22] case $\alpha = 1$, $f_0 = 1$, and $n_0(k) = \delta_{k0}$, the result (4a) specializes to $n(k,t) = \gamma(t)\,[1-\gamma(t)]^k$, yielding $\Lambda(t) = A(t)/\gamma(t)$ and $K(t) = [\gamma(t)]^{-1} - 1$.

[28] A. B. Jaffe and G. de Rassenfosse, *Patent Citation Data in Social Science Research: Overview and Best Practices*, Working Paper 21868 (National Bureau of Economic Research, 2016).

[29] TR removes links without disrupting 'information flow', such that, when patent A cites patents B and C, and B also cites C, then the edge connecting A to C is removed, as the information still flows from C to A via B. This edge-removal process is argued to highlight the causal structure of a directed acyclic network, for which the resulting graph is unique. The authors of Ref. [30] find that TR removes about 80% of links in academic citation networks, but only 15% in patent-citation networks.

[30] J. R. Clough, J. Gollings, T. V. Loach, and T. S. Evans, J. Complex Networks **3**, 189 (2015).

[31] This is illustrated by a large survey of inventors [33], which found that almost half of the citations on their patents referenced inventions that were not known to the inventors before the survey.

[32] C. A. Cotropia, M. A. Lemley, and B. Sampat, Res. Policy **42**, 844 (2013).

[33] A. B. Jaffe, M. Trajtenberg, and M. S. Fogarty, *The Meaning of Patent Citations: Report on the NBER/Case-Western Reserve Survey of Patentees*, Working Paper 7631 (National Bureau of Economic Research, 2000).